

نمونه هایی از نتیجه داوری مقالات 1 :

International Journal of Disaster Prevention and Management

Special Issue in Disaster Management and the Built Environment

Referee's Report

Paper ID 12

Paper Title

Referee's Name

In your assessment of this paper it may be useful to consider the following points.

Does the title accurately reflect the content and purpose of the paper?	NO
Is the Abstract sufficiently concise and informative of the contents of the paper?	NO
Is the purpose of the paper clearly stated in the Introduction?	NO
Do the Figures and Tables aid the clarity of the paper?	NO
Is the English and syntax of the paper satisfactory?	NO
Does the paper make an original contribution to knowledge? (potentially)	YES
Does the paper provide a comprehensive review of previous work in the field? (in part)	YES
Are the conclusions clearly stated and adequately supported?	NO
Is the overall quality suitable for inclusion in a special issue of the International Journal of Disaster Prevention and Management?	NO

RECOMMENDATION (please tick **one** only)

Accepted

Accepted subject to minor changes

Requires condensing

Requires major changes

Rejected

COMMENTS

Comments to the Author (please use extra sheets if required)

Please add helpful comments for authors, be specific, identify by page numbers

Abstract

Relatively well written.

An interesting topic, but a confusing paper (both in content and use of English). Suffers from an apparent lack of direction and focus, for example:

despite the title, little reference is made to Bam or to specifically urban conditions.

the proposal of a “holographic system” (p1) is not explicitly explored in the paper, nor are the features of a “simulated environment”

the lit. review consists largely of definitions of crisis management rather than topic-specific texts.

the description of the “virtual organization” (CDO) is similarly generic (e.g. job descriptions), making the specific advantages of the DFD-based system, used in an urban context, difficult to identify.

the figures confirm that: “Detailed drawing [of DFD] in a diagram makes it difficult to understand.”

Details of the results of the “unstructured interview” (p6), and greater analysis of the literature concerning the Bam earthquake (as outlined in the abstract) could, perhaps, support a more focused approach.

Title needs to be re-written: Dataflow model for managing urban disasters: The experience of Bam Earth Quake

Text

The Introduction section of the paper should give greater context of the project. Explain in a paragraph the nature and significance of the Bam Earthquake disaster. Indicate the magnitude of the disaster and the resultant chaotic management of it. Lessons learned should be indicated. That will provide the background context for the paper.

Introduction section should also clearly indicate the Aims and Objectives of the paper and the research. Indicate the current state of the research.

Is the DFD model is for the development of a database management system, expert system or similar computer based system. Or else is this is purely for re-structuring management systems for disaster management.

A detailed clear literature review should follow this. This can be to deal with holographic

systems, disaster management and crisis management. Explain and define what is a Holographic system.

The Disaster management section of the paper almost exclusively discusses Crisis management. Do the authors content both to be equal? If so build up theory for it. If not identify the subtle differences of the two terms and its broader implications.

Literature review on Data Modelling is limited. Is this the only application of data modelling in a disaster management context?

The Methodology section is not clear. Re-write it clearly justifying the particular methodology adopted. Use citations to prove your point.

The DFD's are clear but each should be clearly explained. It is not satisfactory to provide brief explanation in the caption of the diagram. These can either be tabulated and explained or explained in paragraphs.

There is no smooth transition between different sections of the paper. Jump from Disaster management to DFD is quite sudden and abrupt. This disjoints the paper and does not aid in the reading of it.

There are many typographical and English related mistakes right throughout. These should be corrected.

Some abbreviations are not defined.

The Conclusions are very poorly written.

Contributions to knowledge, benefits of the system, advantages are not clearly indicated. Explain what problems were overcome by this development. Has the system been implemented? If so, in what way? What improvements have been achieved? Has it been tested or validated?

What are the drawbacks and limitations of the development?

Conclusions are far too general and vague. These need to be precise and explain how the aims of the paper have been achieved while emphasising on the originality of the work.

نمونه هایی از نتیجه داوری مقالات 2 :

Futures نمونه دیگری از نتیجه داوری مقاله در مجلات مختلف به شکل زیر است. این داوری مربوط به مجله Futures است که موضوعات مختلف در زمینه آینده را چاپ می کند. جالب اینکه طرف 48 ساعت نتیجه داوری را برای ما ارسال کردند. البته بعد از چند ماه اطلاع دادند که مقاله را در دست چاپ قرار داده اند.

I have now received comments from the two referees on your paper.

The first referee says:

A good paper but it needs some rethinking. What is needs is

1. Bringing in alternative readings of the clash of civilizations - sardar, nandy, said, for example.
2. With each of his propositions, some real life case studies are required with examples ...Right now it is overly theory based.
3. More citation in the field - the author starts this with Masini but needs to do more. The futures studies present is all elementary stuff and will be too familiar with Futures readers.
4. Finally, some understanding of differing views of conflict and states would help - reading through Galtung's work on conflict and peace would be of great benefit. With a broader reading - points 1-4 - the piece could be published.

The second referee says:

Can the authors give some examples of futures work in Iran. As it is now, his piece seems inappropriate for the readers of Futures who already know what they are writing about. As it is, the paper is probably more useful to readers in Iran, as an intro to futures elsewhere, than to futures folk elsewhere. What would make the piece of value in Futures would be showing how Iran is (or is not) manifesting these principles in its planning and policies. I think the authors need to do this. It of course needs English editing. The authors should get someone with good English to polish their paper.

In view of these comments, I think serious revisions are needed in paper. In particular, the emphasis should be on futures studies in Iran, with examples, rather than on presenting an elementary view of what futures studies is. You also need to take other comments by the referees into consideration in revising your paper. I will accept a revised version for publication. If you consider all the suggestions of the referees than there should be no need to have the paper refereed again.

Please let me have the revised paper as soon as it is ready.

نمونه هایی از نتیجه داوری مقالات 3 :

نمونه سوم از داوری مجلات آی اس آی، مجله Safety Science است که البته مقاله را رد کرد. همانطور که مشخص است ایرادهای مطرح ایرادهای مهمی هستند که کیفیت مقاله را پایین آورده بودند. این ایرادات رفع شد و در حال حاضر همان مقاله در یک نشریه دیگر در امرالد در حال داوری است...

Reviewer #1:

I found this paper confusing. If the paper is intended to describe a new approach to organizing the management of a crisis, then a discussion of the other methods employed and the benefits provided by this method needs to be clearly established. This is not done.

Little theoretical basis is provided for the method. It is not enough to describe how to construct the diagrams.

the value and assumptions of such a discipline also needs to outlined in detail.

Little mention is made of the Bam incident. Given that it is mentioned in the title, I assumed that it would be used an example of where such a method would be useful.

I was a little confused by the term “holographic”

"A system...in such cases." - unclear

Is the holographic and management system the same thing?

"immediately-after-disaster" - clumsy, re-word

“Thus, the system that will be discussed in this paper introduces a suitable way to mobilize available resources and to reduce consequences of disasters.” - Exactly what is being developed needs to be explained in more detail.

“Natural disasters have occurred since the earliest times, and despite the development of science and technology, they still cause many victims each year” - vague and unnecessary

"One reason for this is the...." - this is not really proven. Also, seems a bit unnecessary

"Massive time pressures" - not all incidents have the same time pressures

Unnecessary reference to Chaos Theory here.

Methodology

A number of critical conditions are described with no justification.

"It is named..." - confusing, re-word.

Doesn't the need to describe the figures (especially the arrows) undermine the value of this approach?

Reviewer #2:

First of all, authors seem to have a weak knowledge of the difference between emergency management and crisis situations.

An information system is useful for emergency management, as long as it is part of the organization, which means that the many stakeholders have already defined and experienced cooperation among them; they have been trained to the daily use of the system in order to efficiently update, validate and share data. even though, the emergency management staff has to define procedures and plans (generally manual) to cope with electric power failures, malfunctions of communications, lack of data and uncertainties.

A series of such reliable decision systems are on the market in "advanced" countries at a national level. Availability of such systems at the regional or municipal scale is much less common, as hardware, software and overall data collection is too expensive for most communities. Investing in such systems in developing countries is not yet on their agendas.

When the situation turns out of control and the crisis begins, the emergency management activities will be overwhelmed and the evolution into a chaotic situation depends on two factors: robustness and resilience. Will procedures, plans and support systems resist to the many constraints and uncertainties (robustness) and will the organization be able to invent strategies and ad-hoc organizational patterns at all levels to reduce damage and recover control (resilience)?

Here are for me the real questions.

It hides all the organizational aspects of emergency management (relations between headquarters, local HQ and field troops, assessing priorities, reporting of tasks, etc.).

It tries to make the reader think that some tasks may be done automatically by a system ("the system is able to learn which information is relevant for whom at which given moment"), when all practitioners know that this is the role of the crisis team and moreover that the priority is to get data (specially weak signals) and build sensemaking more than sending information to people.

it hides all responsibility aspects (if the wrong information is transmitted and damage occurs from a wrong action, who will be responsible in court: the system designer or the manager?).

it doesn't tackle a key question during such dramatic situations: how to establish cooperation between the official services (civil security, police & army, health system) and NGO's? How to organize the sharing of tasks among the many NGO's?

How to make all those institutions use the same (or compatible) data & systems?

نمونه هایی از نتیجه داوری مقالات 4 :

هر مجله از داوران سوالات مشخصی را می پرسد. بدین ترتیب که فرمی همراه با مقاله برای هر داور می فرستد. داوران اول به سوالات پاسخ می دهند، بعد کامنت های (نظرات) خود را در انتهای سوالات مطرح می کنند. دانستن این سوالات و پاسخ به آنها قبل از ارسال مقاله کمک زیادی به تسریع در پذیرش می کند. در قسمت ادامه مطلب چند سوال که در ارتباط به یک نشریه انگلیسی برای ما ارسال شده است در اختیار دوستان عزیز قرار می گیرد...

- 1. Originality:** Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?
- 2. Relationship to Literature:** Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?
- 3. Methodology:** Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?
- 4. Results:** Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?
- 5. Practicality and/or Research implications:** Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?
- 6. Quality of Communication:** Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.?

آیا این مجله درجه ISI دارد؟

برای اینکه بدانیم آیا یک مجله درجه آی اس آی دارد یا نه؟ (توجه: جستجوی خود سایت تامسون رویترز زیاد دقیق نیست و گاهی وقت ها نام نشریات را نمی آورد) کافی است که نام نشریه را به این شکل در Google وارد کنیم:

“Journal Name” site: thomsonreuters.com

برای مثال

“JOURNAL OF BANKING & FINANCE” site: thomsonreuters.com

یا اینکه به جای نام مجله، ISSN مجله را وارد جستجوگر Google می کنیم:

“ISSN: 0378-4266” site: .thomsonreuters.com

که نتیجه جستجو در زیر مشاهده می شود

[Journal Search - Science - Thomson Reuters](#)

Science *thomsonreuters.com* ... Site. Client. proxystylesheet. Output. Search. allAreas ...
JOURNAL OF BANKING & FINANCE. Monthly: ISSN: 0378-4266: ELSEVIER SCIENCE
BV, PO BOX 211, AMSTERDAM, NETHERLANDS, 1000 AE. Coverage ...
science.thomsonreuters.com/cgi-bin/jrnlst/jlresults.cgi?... - [Cached](#) - [Similar](#) -

برای جستجوی الفبایی نیز از لینک زیر استفاده نمایید:

<http://scientific.thomsonreuters.com/cgi-bin/linksj/search.cgi?letter=d>

این نوشته بر گرفته از وب سایت آقای عبدالعظیم ملایی دانش آموخته ارشد دانشگاه تهران به آدرس <http://isimag.blogfa.com> است که توسط آقای علی بلادر به این صورت جمع آوری شده است و بر روی سایت <http://balador.org> قرار گرفته شده است. (تاریخ تهیه: 24 دی ماه سال 1388)